



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/080,761	02/22/2002	Hartej P. Singh	ENFOR 3.0-001	8410
530	7590	10/19/2004	EXAMINER	
LERNER, DAVID, LITTENBERG, KRUMHOLZ & MENTLIK 600 SOUTH AVENUE WEST WESTFIELD, NJ 07090				CHOJNACKI, MELLISSA M
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
				2164

DATE MAILED: 10/19/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/080,761	SINGH ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Mellissa M Chojnacki	2164

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on _____.
- 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 1-66*
- 4) Claim(s) 1-65 is/are pending in the application.
 - 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
 - 5) Claim(s) 7-10 is/are allowed.
 - 6) Claim(s) 1-65 is/are rejected.
 - 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
 - 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.

Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 - a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

SAM RIMELL
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|---|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ . |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>June 4, 2002</u> . | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ . |

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claims 47 and 48 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 47 recites the limitation "A computer readable medium as in claim 23" in line 1. There is insufficient antecedent basis for these limitations in the claim. For the purpose of examination, the examiner is making the assumption that claim 47 is indeed dependent from claim 45 (not claim 23).

Claim 48 is dependent on reject dependent claim 47. Correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 1-2, 10-13, 19-24, 32-35, 41-46, 54-57 and 63-66 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Monroe (U.S. Patent No. 6,518,881) in view of Coffee et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,611,755).

As to claim 1, Monroe teaches a computer aided dispatching system (See abstract, where "dispatching system" is read on "Communication system") comprising:

the mobile data terminal being associated with a person or vehicle (See Fig. 2; abstract; column 1, lines 16-29; column 2, lines 66-67; column 3, lines 1-12), to query a database based upon the rules for information relevant to the services and the location and to automatically transmit messages corresponding to the relevant information wirelessly to the mobile data terminal (See abstract; column 4, lines 19-36, lines 52-56; column 5, lines 45-53).

Monroe does not teach a central server in wireless communication with a mobile data terminal, the central server being adapted to receive a communication corresponding to a location for providing services, to select, in response to the communication, a set of rules corresponding to the services.

Coffee et al. teaches vehicle tracking, communication and fleet management system (See abstract), in which he teaches a central server in wireless communication with a mobile data terminal (See column 3, lines 48-59; column 5, lines 34-39; column 6, lines 10-13; column 9, lines 37-40), the central server being adapted to receive a communication corresponding to a location for providing services, to select, in response to the communication, a set of rules corresponding to the services (See column 5, lines 34-39; column 9, lines 37-40; column 80, lines 66-67; column 81, lines 1-9).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to have modified Monroe to include a central server in wireless communication with a mobile data terminal, the central server being adapted to receive a communication corresponding to a location for providing services,

to select, in response to the communication, a set of rules corresponding to the services.

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Monroe by the teachings of Coffee et al. because a central server in wireless communication with a mobile data terminal, the central server being adapted to receive a communication corresponding to a location for providing services, to select, in response to the communication, a set of rules corresponding to the services would is to provide fleet management information to customers (i.e., the owners, operators, subscribers, or users of the fleet who seek to avail themselves of the advantages of a vehicle tracking, communication and fleet management system) to enable them to manage their assets more profitably (See Coffee et al., column 2, lines 38-50).

As to claims 2, 24 and 46 Monroe as modified, teaches wherein the central server is further adapted to transmit the messages while the person or vehicle is en route to the location; transmitting the messages to the mobile data terminal while the person or vehicle is en route to the location (See Coffee et al., column 75, lines 44-49); wherein the code further comprises instructions for causing the central server to transmit the messages to the mobile data terminal while the person or vehicle is en route to the location (See Coffee et al., column 75, lines 44-49).

As to claims 10, 32 and 54 Monroe as modified, teaches wherein the communication is a signal from the mobile data terminal to a dispatching terminal, in communication with the central server, indicating that the person or vehicle is traveling, or will travel, to the location for providing the services (See Coffee et al., column 1, lines 6-10, lines 33-38; column 2, lines 20-28; column 5, lines 39-44).

As to claims 11, 33 and 55 Monroe as modified, teaches wherein the communication is a telephone call to a dispatching terminal, in communication with the central server, requesting the services (See Coffee et al., column 3, lines 41-47).

As to claims 12, 34 and 56 Monroe as modified, teaches wherein the central server is further adapted to select a second set of rules, corresponding to the relevant information, to further query the database based upon the second set of rules for further information relevant to the relevant information and to automatically transmit further messages corresponding to the further relevant information wirelessly to the mobile data terminal (See Monroe, abstract; column 5, lines 11-56; column 8, lines 33-44); selecting from the central server a second set of rules corresponding to the relevant information, further querying from the central server the database based upon the second set of rules for further information relevant to the relevant information and automatically transmitting from the central server further messages corresponding to the further relevant information wirelessly to the mobile data terminal (See Monroe, abstract; column 5, lines 11-56; column 8, lines 33-44); wherein the code further

comprises instructions for causing the central server to select a second set of rules corresponding to the relevant information, further query the database based upon the second set of rules for further information relevant to the relevant information and to automatically transmit from the central server further messages corresponding to the further relevant information wirelessly to the mobile data terminal (See Monroe, abstract; column 5, lines 11-56; column 8, lines 33-44).

As to claims 13, 35 and 57 Monroe as modified, teaches wherein the central server is further adapted to transmit the further messages while the person or vehicle is en route to the location (See Coffee et al., column 1, lines 6-10, lines 33-38; column 2, lines 20-28; column 5, lines 39-44); transmitting the further messages to the mobile data terminal while the person or vehicle is in route to the location (See Coffee et al., column 1, lines 6-10, lines 33-38; column 2, lines 20-28; column 5, lines 39-44); wherein the code further comprises instructions for causing the central server to transmit the further messages to the mobile data terminal while the person or vehicle is in route to the location (See Coffee et al., column 1, lines 6-10, lines 33-38; column 2, lines 20-28; column 5, lines 39-44).

As to claims 19, 41 and 63 Monroe as modified, still does not teach wherein the databases include the database of the National Crime Information Center (See Monroe, abstract; column 2, lines 32-49; column 4, lines 52-56).

As to claims 20, 42 and 64 Monroe as modified, teaches wherein the mobile data terminal includes a geographical locating device adapted to identify the geographical position of the mobile data terminal and to transmit a signal providing the geographical position to the central server (See Monroe, abstract; column 4, lines 19-36, lines 52-67); identifying, using a geographical locating device associated with the mobile data terminal, the geographical position of the mobile data terminal and transmitting a signal providing the geographical position from the mobile data terminal to the central server (See Monroe, abstract; column 4, lines 19-36, lines 52-67); instructions for causing the central server to identify, using a signal from a geographical locating device associated with the mobile data terminal, the geographical position of the mobile data terminal (See Monroe, abstract; column 4, lines 19-36, lines 52-67).

As to claims 21, 43 and 65 Monroe as modified, teaches wherein the central server is adapted to provide the geographical position to a dispatching terminal in communication with the central server (See Monroe, abstract; column 4, lines 19-36, lines 52-67); providing the geographical position to a dispatching terminal in communication with the central server (See Monroe, abstract; column 4, lines 19-36, lines 52-67); wherein the code further comprises instructions for causing the central server to provide the geographical position to a dispatching terminal in communication with the central server (See Monroe, abstract; column 4, lines 19-36, lines 52-67).

As to claims 22, 44 and 66 Monroe as modified, teaches wherein the central server is adapted to automatically dispatch the mobile data terminal to the location if the mobile data terminal is included among a group of other mobile data terminals associated with the dispatching system and the geographical position indicates that the mobile data terminal is closer to the location than the other mobile data terminals (See Monroe, abstract; column 4, lines 19-36, lines 52-67; column 8, lines 23-32; column 9, lines 46-52); automatically dispatching from the central server the mobile data terminal to the location if the mobile data terminal is included among a group of other mobile data terminals associated with the central server and the geographical position indicates that the mobile data terminal is closer to the location than the other mobile data terminals (See Monroe, abstract; column 4, lines 19-36, lines 52-67; column 8, lines 23-32; column 9, lines 46-52); wherein the code further comprises code for causing the central server to automatically dispatch the mobile data terminal to the location if the mobile data terminal is included among a group of other mobile data terminals associated with the dispatching system and the geographical position indicates that the mobile data terminal is closer to the location than the other mobile data terminals (See Monroe, abstract; column 4, lines 19-36, lines 52-67; column 8, lines 23-32; column 9, lines 46-52).

As to claim 23, Monroe teaches a method of dispatching a person or vehicle (See abstract, where "dispatching system" is read on "Communication system") comprising:

querying from the central server a database based upon the rules for information relevant to the services and the location (See abstract; column 4, lines 19-36, lines 52-56; column 5, lines 45-53); and automatically transmitting from the central server messages corresponding to the relevant information wirelessly to a mobile data terminal associated with the person or vehicle (See Fig. 2; abstract; column 1, lines 16-29; column 2, lines 66-67; column 3, lines 1-12).

Monroe does not teach receiving at a central server a communication corresponding to a location for providing services; selecting from the central server, in response to the communication, a set of rules corresponding to the services.

Coffee et al. teaches vehicle tracking, communication and fleet management system (See abstract), in which he teaches receiving at a central server a communication corresponding to a location for providing services (See column 3, lines 48-59; column 5, lines 34-39; column 6, lines 10-13; column 9, lines 37-40); selecting from the central server, in response to the communication, a set of rules corresponding to the services (See column 5, lines 34-39; column 9, lines 37-40; column 80, lines 66-67; column 81, lines 1-9).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to have modified Monroe to include receiving at a central server a communication corresponding to a location for providing services; selecting from the central server, in response to the communication, a set of rules corresponding to the services.

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Monroe by the teachings of Coffee et al. because receiving at a central server a communication corresponding to a location for providing services; selecting from the central server, in response to the communication, a set of rules corresponding to the services would is to provide fleet management information to customers (i.e., the owners, operators, subscribers, or users of the fleet who seek to avail themselves of the advantages of a vehicle tracking, communication and fleet management system) to enable them to manage their assets more profitably (See Coffee et al., column 2, lines 38-50).

As to claim 45, Monroe teaches computer readable medium having computer executable software code stored on the medium, the code comprising instructions for causing a central server of a system for dispatching a person or vehicle to perform the steps of (See abstract, where “dispatching system” is read on “Communication system”), querying a database based upon the rules for information relevant to the services and the location, and automatically transmitting messages corresponding to the relevant information wirelessly to a mobile data terminal associated with the person or vehicle (See Fig. 2; abstract; column 1, lines 16-29; column 2, lines 66-67; column 3, lines 1-12; column 4, lines 19-36, lines 52-56; column5, lines 45-53).

Monroe does not teach receiving a communication corresponding to a location for providing services, selecting in response to the communication a set of rules corresponding to the services.

Coffee et al. teaches vehicle tracking, communication and fleet management system (See abstract), in which he teaches receiving a communication corresponding to a location for providing services (See column 3, lines 48-59; column 5, lines 34-39; column 6, lines 10-13; column 9, lines 37-40), selecting in response to the communication a set of rules corresponding to the services (See column 5, lines 34-39; column 9, lines 37-40; column 80, lines 66-67; column 81, lines 1-9).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to have modified Monroe, to include receiving a communication corresponding to a location for providing services, selecting in response to the communication a set of rules corresponding to the services.

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Monroe by the teachings of Coffee et al. because receiving a communication corresponding to a location for providing services, selecting in response to the communication a set of rules corresponding to the services would is to provide fleet management information to customers (i.e., the owners, operators, subscribers, or users of the fleet who seek to avail themselves of the advantages of a vehicle tracking, communication and fleet management system) to enable them to manage their assets more profitably (See Coffee et al., column 2, lines 38-50).

5. Claims 3-6, 14-18, 25-28, 36-40; 47-50 and 58-62 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Monroe (U.S. Patent No. 6,518,881) in view

Art Unit: 2164

of Coffee et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,611,755) as applied to claims 1-2, 10-13, 19-24, 32-35, 41-46, 54-57 and 63-66 above, and further in view of Tipton et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,097,995).

As to claims 3, 25 and 47 Monroe as modified, still does not teach wherein the dispatching system is associated with a municipality and the services are services of the municipality's emergency services personnel; wherein the central server is associated with a municipality and the services are services of the municipality's emergency services personnel.

Tipton et al. teaches hazardous materials and waste reduction management system (See abstract), in which he teaches wherein the dispatching system is associated with a municipality and the services are services of the municipality's emergency services personnel (See column 63, lines 53-62); wherein the central server is associated with a municipality and the services are services of the municipality's emergency services personnel (See column 63, lines 53-62).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to have modified Monroe as modified, to include wherein the dispatching system is associated with a municipality and the services are services of the municipality's emergency services personnel; wherein the central server is associated with a municipality and the services are services of the municipality's emergency services personnel.

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Monroe as modified, by the teachings of

Tipton et al. because wherein the dispatching system is associated with a municipality and the services are services of the municipality's emergency services personnel; wherein the central server is associated with a municipality and the services are services of the municipality's emergency services personnel would be desirable to provide an information and compliance database system that provides the user with a wide variety of critical information including handling, storage, and emergency response methods and guidelines for a cradle-to-grave management (See Tipton et al., column 2, lines 53-58).

As to claims 4, 26 and 48 Monroe as modified, teaches wherein the emergency services personnel are emergency services personnel selected from the group consisting of police personnel, fire personnel and medical personnel (See Tipton et al., column 4, lines 65-67; column 5, lines 1-3; column 63, lines 53-62).

As to claims 5, 27 and 49 Monroe as modified, teaches wherein the mobile data terminal is located in the vehicle and the mobile data terminal includes a display adapted to display the messages to a driver or passenger of the vehicle (See Monroe Fig. 2; abstract; column 2, lines 24-40; also see Coffee et al., column 9, lines 37-40); displaying the messages to a driver or passenger of the vehicle on a display of the mobile data terminal (See Monroe Fig. 2; abstract; column 2, lines 24-40; also see Coffee et al., column 9, lines 37-40); wherein the code further comprises instructions for causing the central server to cause the mobile data terminal to display the messages on

Art Unit: 2164

a display of the mobile data terminal (See Monroe Fig. 2; abstract; column 2, lines 24-40; also see Coffee et al., column 9, lines 37-40).

As to claims 6, 28 and 50 Monroe as modified, teaches wherein the mobile data terminal further includes an audio synthesizer or audio simulator adapted to provide an audio reproduction of the messages for the driver or passenger (See Monroe, abstract; also see Coffee et al., column 3, lines 13-17; column 3, lines 32-40); providing an audio reproduction of the messages from the mobile data terminal for the driver or passenger; wherein the code further comprises instructions for causing the central server to cause the mobile data terminal to provide an audio reproduction of the messages (See Monroe, abstract; also see Coffee et al., column 3, lines 13-17; column 3, lines 32-40).

As to claims 14, 36 and 58 Monroe as modified, teaches wherein the database comprises a plurality of independent databases separately maintained by different entities and connected by a network (See Tipton et al., column 4, lines 65-67; column 5, lines 1-3; column 48, lines 50-67; column 49 lines 1-60; column 54, lines 50-59; column 58, lines 62-63; column 63, lines 53-62).

As to claims 15, 37 and 59 Monroe as modified, teaches wherein the network comprises the Internet (See Monroe abstract; column 4, lines 52-64).

As to claims 16, 38 and 60 Monroe as modified, teaches wherein the database comprises a plurality of different databases separately maintained by different departments or agencies of the municipality (See Tipton et al., column 4, lines 65-67; column 5, lines 1-3; column 48, lines 50-67; column 49 lines 1-60; column 54, lines 50-59; column 58, lines 62-63; column 63, lines 53-62).

As to claims 17, 39 and 61 Monroe as modified, teaches wherein the different departments or agencies comprise a plurality of departments or agencies selected from the group consisting of the police department, fire department, emergency medical services department, animal control department, health department, tax department, public works department, hazardous materials department, prosecutor's office, sheriff's office and municipal court (See Tipton et al., column 4, lines 65-67; column 5, lines 1-3; column 48, lines 50-67; column 49, lines 1-60; column 63, lines 53-62).

As to claims 18, 40 and 62 Monroe as modified, teaches wherein the database further comprises a plurality of different databases separately maintained by different departments or agencies of the federal government and of the state in which the municipality is located (See Tipton et al., column 4, lines 65-67; column 5, lines 1-3; column 48, lines 50-67; column 49 lines 1-60; column 54, lines 50-59; column 58, lines 62-63; column 63, lines 53-62).

6. Claims 7-9, 29-31 and 51-53 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable Monroe (U.S. Patent No. 6,518,881) in view of Coffee et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,611,755) as applied to claims 1-2, 10-13, 19-24, 32-35, 41-46, 54-57 and 63-66 above, and further in view of DeLorme et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,321,158).

As to claims 7, 29 and 51 Monroe as modified, still does not teach wherein the mobile data terminal is carried by the person and includes an audio synthesizer or audio simulator adapted to provide an audio reproduction of the messages for the person; mounting the mobile data terminal on the person and providing an audio reproduction of the messages from the mobile data terminal for the person; wherein the mobile data terminal is mounted on the person and the code further comprises instructions for causing the central server to cause the mobile data terminal to provide an audio reproduction of the messages.

DeLorme et al. teaches integrated routing/mapping information (See abstract), in which he teaches wherein the mobile data terminal is carried by the person and includes an audio synthesizer or audio simulator adapted to provide an audio reproduction of the messages for the person (See abstract; column 1, lines 27-40; column 2, lines 6-13); mounting the mobile data terminal on the person and providing an audio reproduction of the messages from the mobile data terminal for the person (See abstract; column 1, lines 27-40; column 2, lines 6-13); wherein the mobile data terminal is mounted on the person and the code further comprises instructions for causing the central server to cause the mobile data terminal to provide an audio reproduction of the messages (See abstract; column 1, lines 27-40; column 2, lines 6-13).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to have modified Monroe as modified, to include wherein the mobile data terminal is carried by the person and includes an audio synthesizer or audio simulator adapted to provide an audio reproduction of the messages for the person; mounting the mobile data terminal on the person and providing an audio reproduction of the messages from the mobile data terminal for the person; wherein the mobile data terminal is mounted on the person and the code further comprises instructions for causing the central server to cause the mobile data terminal to provide an audio reproduction of the messages.

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Monroe as modified, by the teachings of DeLorme et al., because wherein the mobile data terminal is carried by the person and includes an audio synthesizer or audio simulator adapted to provide an audio reproduction of the messages for the person; mounting the mobile data terminal on the person and providing an audio reproduction of the messages from the mobile data terminal for the person; wherein the mobile data terminal is mounted on the person and the code further comprises instructions for causing the central server to cause the mobile data terminal to provide an audio reproduction of the messages would add a communications dimension to the maps for adding and updating the latest spatially related data, for providing software tools for map analysis and reading, and generally for communications between computer systems and devices and between users in a variety of combinations (See DeLorme et al., column 2, lines 8-13)

As to claims 8, 30 and 52 Monroe as modified, teaches wherein the mobile data terminal further includes a display adapted to display the messages to the person (See Monroe abstract; Fig. 2; column 3, lines 18-39; also see Coffee et al., column 9, lines 37-40); displaying the messages to the person on a display of the mobile data terminal (See Monroe abstract; Fig. 2; column 3, lines 18-39; also see Coffee et al., column 9, lines 37-40); wherein the code further comprises instructions for causing the central server to cause the mobile data terminal to display the messages on a display of the mobile data terminal (See Monroe abstract; Fig. 2; column 3, lines 18-39; also see Coffee et al., column 9, lines 37-40).

As to claims 9, 31 and 53 Monroe as modified, teaches wherein the communication is a signal from a dispatching terminal, in communication with the central server, to the mobile data terminal dispatching the person or vehicle to the location (See DeLorme et al., column 57, lines 13-20; column 64, lines 38-48; also see Coffee et al., column 1, lines 6-10, lines 33-38; column 2, lines 20-28; column 5, lines 39-44); wherein the communication is a signal from a dispatching terminal, in communication with the central server, to the mobile data terminal dispatching the person or vehicle to the location See DeLorme et al., column 57, lines 13-20; column 64, lines 38-48; also see Coffee et al., column 1, lines 6-10, lines 33-38; column 2, lines 20-28; column 5, lines 39-44); wherein the communication is a signal from a dispatching terminal, in communication with the central server, to the mobile data terminal

dispatching the person or vehicle to the location See DeLorme et al., column 57, lines 13-20; column 64, lines 38-48; also see Coffee et al., column 1, lines 6-10, lines 33-38; column 2, lines 20-28; column 5, lines 39-44).

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

The following patents are cited to further show the state of the art with respect to a Proactive Emergency Response System in general:

U.S. Patent No. 5,636,122 to Shah et al., for disclosing a method and apparatus for tracking vehicle location and computer aided dispatch.

U.S. Patent Application Publication 2003/0158635 to Pillar et al., for disclosing a firefighting vehicle with network-assisted scene management.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mellissa M. Chojnacki whose telephone number is (571) 272-4076. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dov Popovici can be reached on (571) 272-4083. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Mmc
October 6, 2004



SAM RIMELL
PRIMARY EXAMINER